Comparison of RMP-2021 vs Draft RMP-2025 (MAV Template)

### Contents

1.	. Purp	ose.		2
2.	Bacl	kgrou	ınd	2
3.	Key	Simi	larities and Differences	2
	3.1.	MA'	V Template: Addition of new section to provide governance guidelines	2
	3.2.	Ass	et Hierarchies – Municipal Road Network	3
	3.3.	Sea	lling of Unsealed Roads	3
	3.4.	Insp	pection Frequencies	0
	3.5.	Cor	nparison of defect Intervention Levels and Repair timeframes	1
	3.5.	1.	Sealed Roadway Maintenance	1
	3.5.2	2.	Unsealed Roadway Maintenance	3
	3.5.3	3.	Bridges and Culverts	4
	3.5.4	4.	Road Related Infrastructure	5
	3.5.	5.	Road Related Drainage	7
	3.5.0	3.	Vegetation Maintenance	9
	3.5.	7.	Footpath and Shared-use Path Maintenance	11
	3.6.	Add	lition of Examples of hazards and defects	0
4.	Con	clusio	on	0
	APPE	NDIX	A: Examples of hazards and defects	0

#### 1. Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide detailed comparison of,

- Council's Current Road Management Plan 2021,
- Draft Road Management Plan 2025-29 based on the MAV RMP template.

## 2. Background

Under the Road Management (General) Regulations 2016, Council must conduct and complete a review of its Road Management Plan at the same time as it is preparing its Council Plan as described in the Local Government Act 2020. The Act in section 90(3) states: 'A Council must develop or review the Council Plan in accordance with its deliberative engagement practices and adopt the Council Plan by 31 October in the year following a general election.'

The Municipal Association of Victoria (MAV) has recently (2024) provided a Road Management Plan (RMP) template for (a) Urban and (b) Rural and Regional Councils. The MAV is planning that the majority of Victorian Councils will use this template format in the formulation of their new RMPs in 2025. A draft Road Management Plan for 2025-29 is drafted using the MAV Template. This report outlines the key differences between the current RMP (2021) and the draft RMP version for 2025-29.

# 3. Key Similarities and Differences

The general layout of the format of Road Management Plan is changed, and the format from MAV template is adopted in the draft RMP 2025-29.

# 3.1. MAV Template: Addition of new section to provide governance guidelines

There is addition of a new table detailing governance guidelines at very start of the draft RMP 2025-29.

Table 1: Governance Guidelines

Responsible Service / Department:	Infrastructure and Operations
Adoption authorised:	Council
Date of adoption:	< <date>&gt;</date>
Date of effective from:	< <date>&gt;</date>
Enterprise Content Manager Ref:	
Document Set ID:	
Endorsed CEO or ELT member or	
department manager to make and approve	
document editorial amendments:	
Annual desktop review	< <date>&gt;</date>
date:	
Review date:	< <date>&gt;</date>
Completion date:	< <date>&gt;</date>
Version number:	6.00
Stakeholder review and engagement:	
Relevant Legislation:	

Associated Strategic Direction #:	
Associated instruments:	
Supersedes:	Version 5.0, June 2021

#### 3.2. Asset Hierarchies – Municipal Road Network

The road hierarchy in Draft RMP for 2025 are categorised in 4 categories, but the description of roads is similar to the current RMP. The primary roads in current RMP are classified as Category 4: Primary, whereas Other Roads/ Fire tracks from current RMP are classified as Category 1: Other Roads/Fire Tracks in the 2025 RMP draft.

The road hierarchy in RMP-2025 draft is as follows:

- Category 4: Primary
- Category 3: Secondary
- Category 2: Minor
- Category 1: Other Roads/ Fire Tracks

Furthermore, the pathways in 2021 version were classified as Primary and Local, whereas in the 2025 draft, these are classified in 3 categories. The categories of pathway hierarchy in RMP-2025 draft are as follows:

- Category 3: Primary
- Category 2: Local
- Category 1: Rail Trails

#### 3.3. Sealing of Unsealed Roads

The text in the RMP 2021 has been retained, but minor changes to the introductory paragraph.

#### 3.4. Inspection Frequencies

In the draft RMP 2025-29, the timeframe for reactive inspections for all asset classes is either same or has increased than what it was in the 2021 version. On the other hand, the timeframe for proactive inspections is reduced in the new RMP draft.

Table 2 shows the comparison of inspection Frequencies for RMP 2021 and draft RMP 2025-26.

Table 2: Comparison of Inspection Frequencies

Asset Class	Hierarchy	Reactive Inspection Timeframe WD = Working Days H = Hours Proactive inspection Frequency M = Months		Timeframe Frequency = Working Days M = Months			Night pections = Years
		RMP 2021	1	RMP 2021	Draft RMP 2025-26	RMP 2021	Draft RMP 2025-26
	Primary	5 WD	5 WD	4 M	4 M	1 Y*	1 Y
Road Network	Secondary	5 WD	7 WD	8 M	6 M	1 Y*	2 Y
Road Network	Minor	5 WD	10 WD	12 M	12 M		3 Y
	Other Roads/Fire Tracks				 		
	Primary	5 WD	5 WD	12 M	6 M		
Footpath	Local	5 WD	7 WD	12 M	12 M		
	Rail Trails		10 WD		12 M		
	Primary	5 WD	5 WD	12 M	6 M		
Kerb & Channel	Local	5 WD	7 WD	12 M	12 M		
	Rail Trails		10 WD	12 M	12 M		
Bridges	All Road Categories – Level 1 inspection	5 WD	5 WD	12 M	12 M		
Road Safety Devices	Guard Rail	5 WD	As per frequency for road category	As per frequency for road category	As per frequency for road category		

	Traffic Management Devices	5 WD	As per frequency for road category	As per frequency for road category	As per frequency for road category	
	Regulatory, Warning and Hazard Signs		As per frequency for road category		As per frequency for road category	
	Signs and Other Road Safety Devices	5 WD	As per frequency for road category	As per frequency for road category	As per frequency for road category	
	Urban Vegetation	5 WD	As per frequency for road category	As per frequency for footpath category	As per frequency for road category	
Emergency Response (all asset categories)		1 WD	1 WD			

<sup>\*</sup> This inspection timeframe is for urban roads only.

# 3.5. Comparison of defect Intervention Levels and Repair timeframes

#### 3.5.1. Sealed Roadway Maintenance

Table 3: Sealed Roadway Maintenance

Defect		Description/ Intervention Level	Target Response Times					
Delect	Description/ intervention Level		Primary	Secondary	Minor	Other		
	RMP 2021	When pothole is >50mm in depth and >300mm in dia.	2 W*	3 W*	1 M*	N/A		
Potholes	draft RMP 2025-29	When pothole is >70 mm in depth and >350 mm in dia. in sealed pavements.  When pothole is >40 mm in depth and >150 mm diameter in dedicated/marked bicycle lanes.	2 W	4 W	8 W	N/A		

Seal Edge	RMP 2021	Edge break >100mm from the average existing seal width	2 W*	3 W*	1 M*	N/A
break	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Edge breaks > <b>100 mm</b> laterally over a 5m or greater length from the nominal seal width	2 W	4 W	8 W	N/A
	RMP 2021	When drop off of pavement is >75mm measured over a 20m length.	2 W*	3 W*	1 M*	N/A
Edge Drop Offs	draft RMP 2025-29	Edge drops onto an unsealed shoulder > <b>50 mm</b> in depth over a <b>10m</b> or greater length	2 W	4 W	8 W	N/A
Stripped Seals/ Bleeding Surface/ Slick	RMP 2021	Emergency treatment where wearing course becomes hazardous to traffic, particularly on horizontal curves or approaches to intersections, or not waterproof. Other areas to be considered within annual reseal program	PW*	PW*	PW*	N/A
Surfaces:	draft RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type was removed to maintain consistency with the MAV template but will be addressed through the Annual Resealing Program based on condition assessment of the road seal.				+
Surface Waving	RMP 2021	When rutting depression holds water or exceeds > <b>75mm</b> under a <b>3m</b> straight edge longitudinally.	2 W*	3 W*	1 M*	N/A
or Shoving:	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type is similar in nature to depressions/deformations and was removed to avoid duplication and maintain consistency with the MAV template.				+
Depressions/ deformations	RMP 2021	Depression/ deformation > <b>75mm</b> in depth under a <b>3m</b> straight edge.	2 W*	3 W*	1 M*	N/A

	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Depression / deformations > <b>75 mm</b> in depth under a <b>3m</b> long straight edge	2 W	4 W	8 W	N/A
Aggregate, dirt, or debris at	RMP 2021	When accumulation of debris of >50mm depth for over 5 m of wheel path.	2 D*	1 W*	1 M*	N/A
critical locations		Not stated – this defect type was removed to maintain consistency with the MAV template but is covered by Obstruction/Spillage within Road Obstruction section on Page 24 of the draft.				

<sup>\*</sup> Appropriate response within 1 working day if any hazard/defect identified or reported that presents an immediate risk to the health and safety of the public and/or road/footpath users.

### 3.5.2. Unsealed Roadway Maintenance

Table 4: Unsealed Roadway Maintenance

Defect				Target Response Times					
Delect		Description/Intervention Level	Primary	Secondary	Minor	Other			
	RMP 2021	When pothole is <b>&gt;65mm</b> in depth and <b>&gt;300mm</b> in dia.	2 W*	3 W*	1 M*	6 M			
Potholes	draft RMP 2025-29	When pothole is >100 mm in depth and >500 mm in dia.	N/A	2 M	3 M	N/A			
Rutting/ scouring		Rutting or scouring to a depth of <b>65mm</b> over 30% of any 1km length of road.	N/A	N/A	2 per year*	12 M			

	draft RMP 2025-29	Wheel ruts or scouring > <b>80mm</b> in depth.	N/A	3 M	4 M	N/A
		Corrugation to a depth of <b>65mm</b> over 30% of any 1km length of road.	N/A	N/A	2 per year*	12 M
Corrugations	draft RMP 2025-29	Corrugations > <b>80mm</b> in depth and > <b>300mm</b> in length	N/A	3 M	4 M	N/A
Shoulders - potholes,	コンロンコ	Unsupported drop from pavement > <b>100mm</b> measured over a 20m length. Insufficient shoulder material to maintain shoulder at pavement levels, over 40% of road length.	1	3 W	1 M	6 M
rutting, holding water	draft RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type was removed as majority of Council's unsealed road network do not have shoulders and to maintain consistency with the MAV template.				1

<sup>\*</sup> Appropriate response within 1 working day if any hazard/defect identified or reported that presents an immediate risk to the health and safety of the public and/or road/footpath users.

#### 3.5.3. Bridges and Culverts

Table 5: Bridges and Culverts

Defect	Description/ Intervention Level		Target F	Response T	imes	
Delect		·	Primary	Secondary	Minor	Other
Bridge &	RMP 2021	Not stated in RMP 2021				
Culvert Major defects	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Implement temporary measures to make safe damage to superstructure or missing planks and railings.	1 WD	1 WD	1 WD	1 WD
Bridge &	RMP 2021	Not stated in RMP 2021				
Culvert Minor defects	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Implement temporary measures to make safe damaged planks and railings.	2 W	2 W	2 M	3 M

<sup>\*</sup> Appropriate response within 1 working day if any hazard/defect identified or reported that presents an immediate risk to the health and safety of the public and/or road/footpath users.

#### 3.5.4. Road Related Infrastructure

Table 6: Road Related Infrastructure

Defect		Description/ Intervention Level	Target I	Response T	imes	
Defect		Description/ intervention Level	Primary	Secondary	Minor	Other
Missing / Damaged Signage, including Statutory and Warning Signs Signs - Rail Crossing Warning Signs Tourist & Service Signs	RMP 2021	<ul> <li>Straighten sign support when it becomes noticeable that it is not vertical.</li> <li>Replace when damage renders either the sign or support ineffective.</li> <li>Clean/ replace the sign face when:         <ul> <li>There is a noticeable accumulation of dirt.</li> <li>Graffiti covers more than 10% of sign or message on sign is defaced</li> </ul> </li> <li>Replace missing or if incorrect sign is in place.</li> <li>Replace if sign is illegible at 150m under low beam or in daylight</li> </ul>	1 W 2 W	1 W 2 W	1 W 2 W	1 W 2 W 1 M
IDamadad 'Ston'	DRAFT RMP 2025- 29	Regulatory 'Stop' and 'Give Way' signs missing, illegible or damaged making them substantially ineffective when viewed from the following distances:  • 'Speed Limit – <=50km/h' = 30m  • Speed Limit – 60km/h = 40m  • Speed Limit – 70km/h = 55m  • Speed Limit – 80km/h = 65m		1 WD	1 WD	1 WD

,	[	Speed Limit – 90km/h = 80m	<u> </u>		[	
		Speed Limit – 100km/h = 95m				
		Other regulatory, warning and hazard signs missing, illegible or damaged making them substantially ineffective when viewed from the following distances:				
Missing / Damaged		<ul> <li>Speed Limit – &lt;=50km/h = 30m</li> <li>Speed Limit – 60km/h = 40m</li> </ul>	1 M	2 M	3 M	4 M
Signage (Other)		<ul> <li>Speed Limit – 70km/h = 55m</li> <li>Speed Limit – 80km/h = 65m</li> </ul>				
		<ul> <li>Speed Limit – 90km/h = 80m</li> <li>Speed Limit – 100km/h = 95m</li> </ul>				
Damaged Guard	RMP 2021	Replace damaged guard rail sections, end terminals and support posts, subject to the availability of materials.	1 M*	2 M*	2 M*	2 M*
Rail	DRAFT RMP 2025- 29	Guard rail/fence damaged or missing making them substantially ineffective	6 W	10 W	4 M	4 M
Pavement Markings - Centre Line	RMP 2021	When markings lack definition, loss of reflectivity and/or legibility at safe sight distances.	3 Year	Program	ı	1

Pavement Markings - Give Way and Stop Holding Lines			6 W*	6 W*	6 W*	N/A
Pavement Markings - School Crossings			6 W*	6 W*	6 W*	N/A
Pavement Markings - Railway Crossings			6 W*	6 W*	6 W*	N/A
Pavement Markings - Parking Bays			2 Year F	Program	J	N/A
Pavement Markings - Bicycle Lanes			3 Year F	Program		N/A
Pavement Markings	R  / P  /  /5_	Pavement markings which are missing or faded making them substantially ineffective	6 W	10 W	4 M	12 M

<sup>\*</sup> Appropriate response within 1 working day if any hazard/defect identified or reported that presents an immediate risk to the health and safety of the public and/or road/footpath users.

#### 3.5.5. Road Related Drainage

Table 7: Road Related Drainage

Defect		Description/ Intervention Level		Target Response Times					
Delect		Description/ intervention Level	Primary	Secondary	Minor	Other			
Kerb & Channel Damage	RMP 2021	Replace or undertake repairs when:  - Uplift section of 35mm in tray and water ponds for greater than 10m in channel  - Lateral displacement of top of kerb and tilted tray by more than 50mm  - Broken pieces greater than 200mm missing	1 YR*	1 YR*	1 YR*	N/A			
RN	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Vertical displacement – uplift section >100 mm Horizontal displacement section >100 mm	4 W	6 W	2 M	N/A			
Drainage Pit Lid or Surround - Damaged or Missing	RMP 2021	Damaged to the point where the structural integrity has been significantly compromised or missing pit lids, surrounds, or grates in pedestrian areas and traffic lanes.	2D	2 D	2 D	N/A			
	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Missing Council drainage pit lid	1 W	1 W	1 W	1 W			
	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Damaged Council drainage pit lids (such that they are potentially structurally unsound)	4 W	6 W	8 W	6 M			

	RMP 2021	Reshape when there is ponding in drains or the drain is not functioning to 80% capacity.	At least annually	At least annually		At least annually
Surface Drains	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type was removed to maintain consistency with the MAV template but will be addressed through the Annual Drainage Maintenance Program.				
Sub-Surface	RMP 2021	Inspect and clean subsoil drains annually. Inspect known problem areas and free flowing subsoil drains at scheduled intervals.	At least annually	At least annually		At least annually
Drains	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type was removed to maintain consistency with the MAV template but will be addressed through the Annual Drainage Maintenance Program.				
Culvert/ Pit	RMP 2021	Inspect and clean culverts and pits based on Annual Program. Inspect regularly known problem areas after heavy rain, and mouths of pits keeping such free of blockages. Inspect catch basins after heavy rains.	At least annually	At least annually		At least annually
RMF	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type was removed to maintain consistency with the MAV template but will be addressed through the Annual Drainage Maintenance Program.				
Kerb & Channel	RMP 2021	Clearance of any debris fouling the surface between the face of the kerb and 2.4-metres from the invert of the channel.	1 M	1 M	1 M	N/A
olcaring	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type was removed to maintain consistency with the MAV template but will be addressed through the Annual Drainage Maintenance Program.				

<sup>\*</sup> Appropriate response within 1 working day if any hazard/defect identified or reported that presents an immediate risk to the health and safety of the public and/or road/footpath users.

## 3.5.6. Vegetation Maintenance

Table 8: Vegetation Maintenance

Defect		5	Targ	get Respons	se Tim	es
Defect		Description/ Intervention Level		Secondary	Minor	Other
	RMP 2021	- Height Clearance: min. <b>4.6m</b> above carriageway	1 M*	2 M*	PW*	PW*
Roadside Vegetation – Overhead clearance	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Sealed roads: Height clearance for vegetation intruding into road envelope: • 4.5 m clearance over the trafficable portion of Arterial roads • 4.5 m clearance over the trafficable portion of Cat 3 & 4 roads • 4.0 m clearance over the trafficable portion of Cat 1 & 2 roads	2 M	4 M	6 M	N/A
		Unsealed roads: Height clearance for vegetation intruding into road envelope: • 4.5 m over the trafficable portion of Cat 3 & 4 roads • 4.0 m over the trafficable portion of Cat 1 & 2 roads	N/A	4 M	6 M	N/A
Roadside	RMP 2021	- Lateral Clearance: in line with guideposts, back of shoulder, or kerb	1 M*	2 M*	PW*	PW*
Vegetation – lateral clearance	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type was removed as it is covered by Vegetation Overhead/Lateral clearance within Pathways category on Pages 28 of the draft.				
	RMP 2021	- Maintenance of safe sight distances at intersections and curves.	1 M*	2 M*	PW*	PW*
Roadside Vegetation – Obstructing sightlines	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Sealed roads: Vegetation that is obstructing sightlines to intersections or regulatory, warning and hazard signs when viewed from the following distances: • Speed Limit – <=50km/h = 30m • Speed Limit – 60km/h = 40m • Speed Limit – 70km/h = 55m • Speed Limit – 80km/h = 65m	3 W	6 W	8 W	N/A

		Speed Limit – 90km/h = 80m     Speed Limit – 100km/h = 95m				
	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Unsealed roads: Vegetation that is obstructing sightlines to intersections or regulatory, warning and hazard signs when viewed from the following distances: • Speed Limit – <=50km/h = 30m • Speed Limit – 60km/h = 40m • Speed Limit – 70km/h = 55m • Speed Limit – 80km/h = 65m	N/A	2 M	3 M	N/A
Vegetation	RMP 2021	Tree, shrub or grasses obstructing safe sight distances, restricts viewing of warning signage, or assessed to be in an unsafe condition causing hazard to traffic or public.	2 W`	1 M	2 M	6 M
Obstruction - Other	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type was removed as it is covered by Vegetation-Obstructing Sightlines within Sealed, Unsealed Road and Pathways categories on Pages 25, 26 and 28 of the draft.				

<sup>\*</sup> Appropriate response within 1 working day if any hazard/defect identified or reported that presents an immediate risk to the health and safety of the public and/or road/footpath users.

# 3.5.7. Footpath and Shared-use Path Maintenance

Table 9: Footpath and Shared-use Path Maintenance

Defect				Response T	imes
Bolook		Description/ Intervention Level	Primary	Secondary	Rail Trails
Vertical	RMP 2021	Vertical displacement is > <b>20mm</b> .	1 W	1 M	N/A
Displacement	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Vertical Displacement is > <b>25 mm</b>	3 W	5 W	2 M
Potholes (Sealed	RMP 2021	Potholes are <b>25mm</b> in depth.	1 W	1 M	N/A
Surface)	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Potholes >150 mm in length/width and >30 mm in depth	3 W	5 W	2 M
Potholes	RMP 2021	Repair when pothole is >25mm in depth and >300mm in diameter	1 W	1 M	N/A
(Unsealed Surface)	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Dislodged or missing pieces or potholes >150 mm in length/width and >30 mm in depth	3 W	5 W	2 M
Denversions/	RMP 2021	Repair or regulate where depressions > <b>30mm</b> in depth over a <b>2 m</b> straight edge.	1 W	1 M	N/A
Depressions/ scouring	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Undulations (depressions / bumps) > <b>75 mm</b> in depth/height under a <b>1.5m</b> straight edge	3 W	5 W	2 M
	RMP 2021	*Target response time is based on road category			
Missing pit lids	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Missing Council drainage pit lids	2 WD	2 WD	4 WD

	RMP				
Demonged wit	2021	*Target response time is based on road category			
Damanged pit lids	DRAFT				
lius	RMP	Damaged Council drainage pit lids (such that they are	4 W	6 W	2 M
	2025-29	potentially structurally unsound)			
	RMP	Height Clearance: min. <b>2.4m</b> above footpath	1 M	2 M	N/A
Footpath -	2021	Lateral Clearance: min. <b>500mm</b> from edge of path			
Vegetation	DRAFT		4 1 4 4	6.14	
Obstruction	RMP	Liaight Classanas, main 2 E va ayay faatmath ayyfaas	4 W	6 W	2 M
	2025-29	Height Clearance: min 2.5 m over footpath surface			
	RMP	Areas where tree, and/or shrub and/or grass encroaches across greater than 30% of footpath width or obstructs viewing	1 M	2 M	N/A
Footpath -	2021	of signage.	I IVI	∠ IVI	IN/A
Vegetation	DRAFT				-
Control	RMP	Vegetation intruding more than 10cm beyond the boundary	4 W	6 W	N/A
	2025-29	line into a pathway, road or laneway:  • <2.5 m over footpath surface	7 **		14/7
		Depressions exceeding <b>50mm</b> in depth at the interface of the			
	RMP	nature strip and surrounding constructed footpaths/shared/bike	1 W	1 M	N/A
Footpaths/Shared	2021	paths with topsoil, gravel or sand			""
Paths/Bike Paths	DRAFT	Not stated – this defect type was removed to maintain			1
Edge Repairs	RMP	consistency with the MAV template but is covered by Vertical			
	2025-29	Displacement under Pathways category.			
		Distressed area where:			
	RMP	- There are loose, missing, or dislodged pavers,	1 W	1 M	N/A
Unstable	2021	- This is vertical displacement greater than <b>20mm</b> , or	I VV	I IVI	IN/A
Segmented		- There are gaps exceeding <b>25mm</b>		,	
Paving Areas	DRAFT				
	RMP	Loose and unstable segmented pavers (i.e. bluestone, bricks,	3 W	5 W	2 M
	2025-29	etc.) that move underfoot			
Diale 1 1 1	RMP	Not stated in PMP 2021			
Dislodged /	2021	Not stated in RMP 2021			
missing tactile indicator	DRAFT RMP		A 141	6 141	2 14
indicator		Damaged or missing	4 W	6 W	3 M
	2020-29	Damaged of missing			

Cracking	RMP 2021 DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Not stated in RMP 2021  Cracking in footpaths >40 mm wide	3 W	5 W	2 M
Shared Use Pathway Signs – Guide, Information, Regulatory &	RMP 2021	<ul> <li>Straighten sign support when it becomes noticeable that it is not vertical.</li> <li>Replace when damage renders either the sign or support ineffective.</li> <li>Clean/ replace the sign face when: <ul> <li>there is a noticeable accumulation of dirt.</li> <li>Message on sign is defaced by graffiti etc.</li> <li>Replace missing or if incorrect sign is in place.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 W	1 M	N/A
Warning	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Not stated – this defect type was removed to maintain consistency with the MAV template but is covered by Missing/Damaged Signage (Other) under Road Related Infrastructure Category.			

<sup>\*</sup> Appropriate response within 1 working day if any hazard/defect identified or reported that presents an immediate risk to the health and safety of the public and/or road/footpath users.

#### 3.5.8. Road Obstruction

In draft RMP 2025-29, there is addition of new section for defect intervention and repair timeframe for Road Obstruction. This table was not present in the RMP 2021 version. See table below for more details.

Table 10: Road Obstruction

Defect		December / Interneution Level		get Respons	se Tim	es
Defect		Description/ Intervention Level	Primary	Secondary	Minor	Other
	RMP 2021	Not stated in RMP 2021				
Water across road	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Obstruction to more than 50% of traffic lane with water greater than 300mm deep	1 WD	1 WD	1 WD	N/A
Obstruction/	RMP 2021	Not stated in RMP 2021				
spillage	DRAFT RMP 2025-29	Debris, including fallen limbs, on carriageway causing an obstruction to more than 50% of the traffic lane	1 WD	1 WD	1 WD	N/A

#### 3.6. Performance measures section

The 2021 RMP version also provides detail on the performance measures that have been adopted to provide an indication of the levels of service meet community requirements in terms of satisfaction of delivery. The target performance measures shown in the 2021 version are shown in table below. This section has now been removed from the draft RMP for 2025-29.

Table 11: Performance measures from RMP 2021

Performance Measure	Target
Routine inspections completed as per schedule	100% as specified
Response times for remedial work as assessed against Council's Maintenance Performance Criteria	90% as specified

#### 3.7. Addition of Examples of hazards and defects

In the draft RMP 2056-29, a table is provided with some examples of hazards and defects.

# 3.8 Addition of Limit of Responsibilities of Coordinating Road Authorities

In the draft RMP 2056-29, limit of responsibilities of Coordinating Road authority for different road types are provided.